

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,304	07/11/2003	Marie-Pascale Audousset	226683US26	3594
	7590 05/30/2007 AK MCCLELLAND, M	AIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.	EXAM	INER
1940 DUKE ST	REET	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	226683US26 3594  EXAMINER  NGUYEN, TRI V  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  1751  NOTIFICATION DATE DELIVERY MOD	N, TRI V
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1751	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/30/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
•	10/617,304	AUDOUSSET ET AL.	AUDOUSSET ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Tri V. Nguyen	1751		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communical BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)  3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unc	This action is non-final.  owance except for formal ma		is	
Disposition of Claims	• • •			
4) Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application Papers  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 1-50 are subject to restriction and application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Exalon The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to	ndrawn from consideration.  d/or election requirement.  miner.   accepted or b)  objected to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the control	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	.8) Paper N	/ Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application 	·	

Application/Control Number: 10/617,304

Art Unit: 1751

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-19 and 25-50, drawn to a method, system and program to determine a dye product formulation, classified in class 356, subclass 243.5.
- II. Claims 20-24, drawn to a database and a compiling process, classified in class717, subclass 140.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of Group I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the invention of Group I is directed to a process, a system and a computer program to determine a product formulation and the invention of Group II is directed to compiling a database.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Applicants' representative left a telephonic message on May 22, 2007 requesting a written restriction (see attached interview summary).

Application/Control Number: 10/617,304

Art Unit: 1751

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tri V. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-6965. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas McGinty can be reached on (571) 272-1029. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,304

Art Unit: 1751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NY

**NVT** 

May 22, 2007

Page 4